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## Diagnostic Systems For the MBA Ring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
<th>Quantity/Sector</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc RF BPMs</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID RF BPMs (A:P0, B:P0)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canted ID RF BPMs (C:P0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit Feedback System</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Motion Systems</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Monitors</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Current Monitor</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Size Monitors</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse and Longitudinal Multi-bunch Feedback</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Ray BPM Electronics GRID</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major Systems Interfaced to Fast Orbit Feedback
MBA Ring Design

Diagnostics for the MBA Ring driven by small beam size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>APS Now Timing Mode</th>
<th>APS MBA Brightness Mode</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam Energy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Current</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bunches</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Duration (rms)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Spread (rms)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Spacing</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Emittance</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>pm·rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emittance Ratio</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Beam Size (rms)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Beam Size (rms)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betatron Tune</td>
<td>35.2, 19.27</td>
<td>95.1, 36.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Chromaticity</td>
<td>-90, -43</td>
<td>-130, -122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Invited Talk: THXGBD1
Aimin Xiao
Beam Stability Requirements

- Beam stability requirements are set at a fraction of the particle beam phase space $\left( x, x', y, y' \right)$ dimensions, typically 10% at the ID source points.

Present APS has ~5 times these values with bandwidth up to ~100 Hz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane</th>
<th>AC rms Motion (0.01-1000 Hz)</th>
<th>Long Term Drift (7 Days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>1.3 $\mu$m 0.25 $\mu$rad</td>
<td>1.0 $\mu$m 0.6 $\mu$rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.4 $\mu$m 0.17 $\mu$rad</td>
<td>1.0 $\mu$m 0.5 $\mu$rad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PARAMETERS – PRESENT APS ORBIT FEEDBACK SYSTEM (1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>‘Datapool’</th>
<th>RTFB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm implementation</td>
<td>Separate DC and AC systems for slow and fast correctors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPM sampling &amp; processing rate</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>1.6 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrector ps setpoint rate</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>1.6 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal processors (20 nodes)</td>
<td>EPICS IOC</td>
<td>DSP (40 MFLOPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. rf bpms / plane</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>160 (4 per sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast correctors / plane</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38 (1 per sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow correctors / plane</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast corrector ps bandwidth</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast corrector latency</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~250 usec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed-loop bandwidth</td>
<td>DC - 1 Hz</td>
<td>1 Hz - 80 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Orbit Feedback System Architecture

APS-U Orbit Feedback Controller (FBC)
Preliminary Design Architecture (April 2016)
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1 Master Controller
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Downstream feedback controller

Upstream correctors
Downstream correctors

Fast corrector power supply interface fanout
Photon bpms

rf BPM electronics
rf BPM electronics
rf BPM electronics
rf BPM electronics

Mechanical motion sensors

Controls subnet
DAQ subnet
Feedback Clock

Backplane

Backplane

FPGA

SFPs

Gig-E

E-net

N. Sereno et al. IPAC 2015, Richmond, Va. 2015
N. Sereno et al. IBIC 2016, Barcelona, Spain. 2016
P. Kallakuri et al. IBIC 2017, Grand Rapids, MI 2017
FPGA manages bpm and corrector data-streams
DSPs perform orbit feedback computations
# PARAMETERS – COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND NEW

## Present system (circ. 1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>APS-U design*</th>
<th>‘Datapool’</th>
<th>RTFB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm implementation</td>
<td>‘Unified feedback’ algorithm</td>
<td>Separate DC and AC systems for slow and fast correctors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPM sampling &amp; processing rate</td>
<td>271 kHz (TBT)</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>1.6 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrector ps setpoint rate</td>
<td>22.6 kHz</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>1.6 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal processors (20 nodes)</td>
<td>DSP (320 GFLOPS) + FPGA (Virtex-7)</td>
<td>EPICS IOC</td>
<td>DSP (40 MFLOPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. rf bpms / plane</td>
<td>570 (14 per sector)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>160 (4 per sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast correctors / plane</td>
<td>160 (4 per sector)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38 (1 per sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow correctors / plane</td>
<td>320 (8 per sector)</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast corrector ps bandwidth</td>
<td>10 kHz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast corrector latency</td>
<td>&lt;10 us</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~250 usec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed-loop bandwidth</td>
<td>DC to 1 kHz</td>
<td>DC - 1 Hz</td>
<td>1 Hz - 80 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Goal of R&D was to demonstrate key parameters in beam studies at APS
TARGETS FOR APS-U ORBIT FEEDBACK R&D
IN TERMS OF ORBIT MOTION SPECTRA

Open- vs closed-loop PSDs with present RTFB (x-plane)

- Increase numbers of bpm's and fast correctors to get access to additional spatial modes
- Reduce contamination of bpm readbacks at low frequencies
- Go after the underlying sources of orbit motion
- Reduce the residual uncorrectable motion
- Widen the correction bandwidth
- Power supply stability
- Mechanical vibration
- Higher sampling rates
- Lower processing latencies
- Faster correctors

Frequency (Hz)

Orbit motion Power Spectral Density (mm²/Hz)
Integrated Beam Stability R&D in APS Sector 27

Major systems tested: BPM Electronics, Fast Corrector PS, Feedback Controller
ORBIT FEEDBACK SYSTEM MODEL

Orbit feedback controller

Accelerator model

BUILT-IN DYNAMIC-SYSTEM ANALYZER

- Need a means of evaluating effects of latency and regulator tuning
  - Method of dividing open-loop and closed-loop PSDs is noisy and imprecise
  - Dynamic-system analyzer approach: measure response to known excitation

- Multiple simultaneous measurement channels
- Beam-based measurement of frequency- and time-domain responses
- Resolve differences in transfer-function to <10Hz
- Closed-loop Response Matrix measurements
MEASURING ORBIT FEEDBACK EFFECTIVENESS

Plots show the attenuation response (fraction of motion remaining with feedback enabled)
• At low frequencies, there is more than 40dB attenuation.
• Amplification at higher frequencies corresponds to overshoot in the step response.

Measurement of orbit motion attenuation: 4Hz – 5kHz
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BEAM-BASED MEASUREMENT OF CLOSED-LOOP PERFORMANCE VS PROCESSING LATENCY

Attenuation responses with/without added latency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integral gain (Ki)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added latency (usec)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/W (Hz) measured</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/W (Hz) simulated</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak (dB) measured</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak (db) simulated</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44 usec (1 tick) of added processing latency costs ~100Hz in bandwidth
MEASURED PERFORMANCE:
REDUCTION IN CUMULATIVE RMS MOTION

RMS beam stability goals for APS-U have been demonstrated on APS

Plots show cumulative RMS motion up to 11 kHz:
- Open-loop
- Ki regulator
- Ki+Kp+Kd regulator

Large source of orbit motion at 1.8kHz is due to synchrotron motion
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PARAMETERS – COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND NEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>APS-U design</th>
<th>‘Datapool’</th>
<th>RTFB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm implementation</td>
<td>‘Unified feedback’ algorithm</td>
<td>Separate DC and AC systems for slow and fast correctors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPM sampling &amp; processing rate</td>
<td>271 kHz (TBT)</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>1.6 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrector ps setpoint rate</td>
<td>22.6 kHz</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>1.6 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal processors (20 nodes)</td>
<td>DSP (320 GFLOPS) + FPGA (Virtex-7)</td>
<td>EPICS IOC</td>
<td>DSP (40 MFLOPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Num. rf bpms / plane</td>
<td>570 (14 per sector)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>160 (4 per sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast correctors / plane</td>
<td>160 (4 per sector)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38 (1 per sector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow correctors / plane</td>
<td>320 (8 per sector)</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast corrector ps bandwidth</td>
<td>10 kHz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast corrector latency</td>
<td>&lt;10 us</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~250 usec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed-loop bandwidth</td>
<td>DC to 1 kHz</td>
<td>DC - 1 Hz</td>
<td>1 Hz - 80 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demonstrated
Demonstrated in a double-sector

Present system (circ. 1995)

Simultaneous Operation of Longitudinal and Orbit Feedback for APS-U

- The problem: Frequency overlap of Orbit Feedback and Longitudinal Feedback systems for the MBA ring
  - Orbit feedback bandwidth: 0.01 to 1000 Hz
  - Synchrotron frequency (2 kHz in present APS):
    - 560 Hz with Higher-Harmonic Cavity (HHC) off
    - 100 Hz +/- 100 Hz with HHC on

- Orbit feedback fast correctors have a bandwidth >~ 1 kHz
  - Could very quickly add to the net dipole field around the ring
  - For a fixed rf frequency this will change the beam energy quickly

*Orbit feedback correctors should never attempt to correct a dispersive orbit or equivalently add to the net ring dipole field*

---

1 APS Upgrade Project Preliminary Design Report table 4.36
BPM Signals in the Present APS Storage Ring

- BPMs (Libera Brilliance+) average orbit position of bunches over a single turn
  - Time domain: ADC sampling/rms over one turn for each button signal
  - I/Q DDC: For each button signal (ITECH recommended operations mode)
  - Both modes have been tested in the APS storage ring

- BPMs are not sensitive to position of individual bunches in operations modes (48 and 324 bunch)

- Dispersion orbits due to longitudinal motion of all bunches together (common mode) are most easily measured in the horizontal plane

- Sources of longitudinal motion:
  - RF Frequency error (DC drift due to earth tides, temperature drifts)
  - RF phase errors (AC mostly harmonics of 60 Hz)
  - Coupled bunch mode 0 (AC at the synchrotron tune)

- Implies two fundamental RF actuators to correct common mode longitudinal motion

\(^1\)Libera Brilliance+ Noise Measurements in Sector 27, DIAG-TN-2016-001
BPM Signals in the Present APS Storage Ring

- High dispersion “P5” BPM PSD and RMS Using BSP-100 BPMs in 12 turn average mode
Response Matrix with RF Actuators

- One can combine correctors and rf actuators in the response matrix
  \[ R \Delta c = \Delta p \]
  \[ R = (r_1 r_2 \ldots r_{n-1} r_{f_n}) \]
  \[ R = U S V^T \]
  - Column vectors \( r_i \) are normal fast corrector response columns
  - Column vector \( r_{f_n} \) has the shape of the dispersion orbit

- The (normalized) column vector \( r_{f_n} \) is also one of the eigen-orbits in the \( U \) matrix

- The rf actuator response is selected by the inverse response matrix \( (U^T) \) from the dispersive part of the orbit

- Ultimately implement by sending a phase signal from the orbit FBCs to the rf phase actuator

- Did an rf phase step response experiment to demonstrate the concept using the operations RTFB and sector 27, 28 FBC DAQ systems
Phase Step Response: Phase detector output measured using a bpm sum signal and master oscillator as the phase reference (rf DAQ has 271 kHz sampling rate)
Beam Response: Sector 27 and 28 Libera Brilliance+ response using the FBC DAQ (22.6 kHz sampling rate)
OVERLAP IN COVERAGE OF SLOW AND FAST ORBIT FEEDBACK SYSTEMS

- Either system can operate stably without the other, but
- Both systems go unstable if operating simultaneously

Both systems have access to this vector space

Both systems can respond over this frequency range

Spatial domain

Frequency domain

Slow Correctors (Datapool)

Fast Correctors (RTFB)
UNIFIED FEEDBACK ALGORITHM CONCEPT: SPATIAL- VS FREQUENCY-DOMAIN ORTHOGONALIZATION

Issue: combination of slow + fast systems is unstable
- Present scheme: separate into high- and low-frequency systems (‘woofer/tweeter’ concept)
- Unified scheme: orthogonalize vector spaces

Present scheme
- RTFB response is rolled off below ~1Hz

Unified algorithm
- IRM is re-formulated to remove the common vector sub-space
- RTFB corrects down to DC and uses all spatial modes
Unified Feedback Illustration

- Problem is to utilize both fast and slow correctors down to DC without the system becoming unstable
- How to modify the response matrix to achieve correction down to DC: First, took an experimental approach
  - Run the fast corrector system (RTFB) using standard inverse response matrix but down to DC
  - Measure the response matrix for the slow system (DP)
  - Invert and run the measured slow system using this measured response matrix

Fast correctors can't correct DC perturbations inside the 3-bump
Unified Feedback Illustration

- The slow corrector response matrix exactly calculable from the standard machine response matrix
- Imagine a very simple orbit feedback system consisting of two bpm's and two correctors: one fast and the other slow
- The standard response matrix is:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R_f & R_s
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta c_f \\
\Delta c_s
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta p_1 \\
\Delta p_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Delta p = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta p_1 \\
\Delta p_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Delta c = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta c_f \\
\Delta c_s
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Standard orbit feedback
Unified Feedback Illustration

- The unified response matrix for slow correctors is orthogonal to that for the fast correctors (assuming the response matrix is full rank):
- Or in general the unified response matrix is that part of the slow corrector magnet response matrix column space orthogonal to that for the fast correctors

\[
\begin{bmatrix} R_f & R_{us} \end{bmatrix} \Delta c = \Delta p
\]

\[
\Delta p = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p_1 \\ \Delta p_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Delta c = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta c_f \\ \Delta c_s \end{bmatrix}
\]
Improvements in orbit feedback settling times from the Unified Feedback Algorithm for Orbit Step Changes

Present APS
User ops at 1.6kHz+10Hz

Present APS
Unified studies at 1.6kHz+10Hz

APSU R&D in Sector-27
Unified studies at 22.6kHz

10 sec

2.5 sec

50 msec

Time (sec)
Time (sec)
Time (millisec)
Unified Feedback Orbit Movie of Sector 28 ID Bump Step Response

- Step Height 50 microns
- 4 ID BPM and 4 fast correctors for the square “fast” response matrix
- 16 BPMs and 4 slow correctors for the “slow” system
- Each movie frame is 44 microseconds of time (22.6 kHz)
- Repeated for:
  - Angle Bumps
  - Vertical plane
  - 16x4 “fast and slow” response matrices
SUMMARY
Small APS-U beam sizes lead to very challenging orbit stability goals

- MBA orbit feedback system must deliver unprecedented beam stability
  - Integration and R&D in sector 27 and 28 has informed diagnostics design
  - R&D has given the team confidence MBA requirements can be met

- APS-U fast orbit feedback system uses the same architecture and functionality as the 20-yr old APS RTFB, but is implemented using 'modern' components
  - 4000-fold increase in performance vs 1995-era processors
  - Hybrid DSP-FPGA processor chosen over FPGA-only implementation (DAQ, AFG, DSP code)
  - Use TBT data to minimize latency
  - Have to properly handle simultaneous operation of longitudinal and orbit feedback

- APS-U fast orbit feedback controller has been prototyped on the present APS
  - Unified feedback algorithm combines fast and slow correctors without compromising spatial or dynamical performance (replaces present 'woofer/tweeter' scheme).
  - 22.6 kHz orbit correction rate with 16 bpms and 4 fast correctors per sector per plane.
  - Unique diagnostic and measurement capabilities are built into the controller
  - Developed a model of the system and plan on testing 'optimal' control techniques.
  - All key parameters for APS-U fast orbit feedback system design have been demonstrated during beam studies, including 1kHz closed-loop bandwidth
Extra Slides

- RF and Xray bpm systems
RF BPMs*

- Baseline design uses Libera Brilliance+ by iTech
  - < 60 nm rms AC noise 0.01 to 1000 Hz
  - < 50 nm pk-pk drift over 7 days
  - < 30 μm single shot rms noise for 1 nC typical commissioning charge levels
- 40 Shielded EMI enclosures for BPMs and feedback system electronics.
- BPM pickup electrode assembly has integrated shielded bellows designed in coordination with vacuum design group.

* R. Lill et al. IBIC 2016, Barcelona, Spain 2016
X. Sun et al. IBIC 2017, Grand Rapids, MI, 2017
GRID-XBPM Prototype Design*

- 27-ID GRID installed for R&D and User Operations since Summer 2015
- Based on interception of hard X-rays and fluorescence by Cu (GildCop)
- Vertical position obtained from pinhole imaging by each detector assembly
- Horizontal position obtained from difference over sum between upstream and downstream detectors
- Final engineering of system underway due to higher energy/flux bend magnet/quad backgrounds in 42 pm emittance MBA ring

*B. X. Yang etal. IPAC 2015, Richmond, Va. 2015
B. X. Yang etal. IBIC 2016, Barcelona, Spain, 2016
G. Decker, PAC 2007, Albuquerque, NM, 2007