

## June APS Upgrade Forum



**Jim Kerby** Project Manager

June 14, 2018



#### Suspension of hazardous laser work at the APS

As a result of a laser incident at the Dynamic Compression Sector (Sector 35) where two individuals had a potential laser eye exposure caused by looking at the end of laser fiber fed by an IR laser, all hazardous laser work (Class 3b and 4, including User Mode Only operations) at the APS has been paused. In order to restart laser work, Standard Operating Procedures and Laser Operating Permits have to be reviewed and work re-authorized by the Argonne Laser Safety Officer.

Stephen

Dr. Stephen Streiffer Director, Advanced Photon Source Associate Laboratory Director, Photon Sciences Argonne National Laboratory 9700 S. Cass Ave. Argonne, IL 60439

ph: (630) 252-7990 aps-director@aps.anl.gov



Safety

### **Exercise our "PAUSE WORK" responsibility**

"Wait, are you sure it's ok to do this?" "Wait, <u>am I</u> sure it's ok to do this?"

A thorough discussion, AND --even at the end --a little doubt typically leads to a better solution



# Safety



#### When is the Work Done?

This past week, I went to look at an upcoming work activity to discuss an upcoming work task. When I looked around the area, I commented on having sufficient space to do the work. I was assured that things were going to be moved and the area prepared prior to starting the task being discussed.

Cleaning up, reorganizing the work area and putting away tools and equipment were not activities that are within the scope of the work we were discussing, rather leftovers from previous work activities.

There is a good deal of planning, scheduling, documentation and discussion required in order to get the work activity started. Start of work is tied to management authorization of the work commencement, starting with a pre-job briefing to review what will be done, who is responsible, and the hazards and controls to be followed along with potential upset conditions that may occur with a reminder to pause, suspend or stop work as needed.

Once the work activities start, there are periodical oversight reviews conducted, along with discussions as the activities transition from one step to the next to determine the progress and status of the completion of the work activity.

To define when work is done varies by the position and role of the person you ask. To some it will be when the individual task is completed without the closeout activities. To another, it is when they have completed their subset of the overall work activity. To a manager, it may be when the milestone or goal has been met, even if the work activity is still ongoing.

Regardless of the perspective, for any work activity to be completed the following must be done:

- All tasks for the defined scope of work are completed
- All tools and equipment are put away
- Materials and supplies left over from the work are put away, including those items we salvage or intend to use later
- Waste materials are properly disposed of, or documentation completed and waste properly stored in designated areas
- The work area is cleaner and more organized than when you started
- Work activity specific postings are taken down or removed
- A post-job briefing has been completed with lessons learned documented
- If required, the work control document or procedure revision process started



Clean up...Put things away, throw things away, or recycle.

Make sure signage is consistent with the current state of affairs.

Think...what could we do better next time? Write it down!

Do not leave 'traps' for the next person who is walking in to an area or performing that job!



J. McGhee 6/10/2018

14 June 2018 Forum Mtg

## **CD-2 Timeline**

June 13 – now...

- PCRs we will go with the plan as of July
- Risks –review and update what is in the registry if you have not already
- Recommendations review and update
- FULL EVMS starts this month

August ~9-10-13 – Dry runs of talks (individual exact dates tbd, we will work to the extent possible w/ schedules) August 14 – All Files posted for review

August 21-23 – Director's Review (DOE PM ICE/ICR starts ~same time, mostly not at ANL)

Week of September 17 -- Dry runs as needed September 26 -- All Files posted for review October 10-12 – OPA Review

Director's and OPA reviews are expected to be 2.5 day reviews, with plenaries and ~8-9 parallel breakout sessions, with ~ the last day being Q&A and report writing



14 June 2018 Forum Mtg

# **CD-2 Review**

- <u>Scope Baseline</u>: Is the project scope definition sufficiently mature to establish a performance baseline? Do the Key Performance Parameters (KPPs) adequately define the technical performance required from the project to meet the Mission Need? Is the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) dictionary sufficiently developed to adequately define the project scope and deliverables?
- <u>Cost Baseline</u>: Is the engineering design and the associated cost estimate sufficiently mature to establish a cost performance baseline? Does the cost estimate include adequate contingency to ensure project success?
- <u>Schedule Baseline</u>: Does the integrated project schedule represent a credible plan to deliver the project scope within the planned costs, subject to the assumed funding profile, and with adequate schedule contingency?
- <u>Management</u>: Does the project management team possess the knowledge and skills to deliver the project scope within the proposed cost and schedule baselines? Do they understand the project risks and have adequate contingency to address them? Has their performance to date, as evidenced by the progress on LLP, met expectations? Is the plan for retaining and integrating the LLP performance into the established baseline sound? Have they met all the requirements for CD-2 approval
- ES&H: Are the ES&H/QA requirements being properly addressed for this project stage?
- <u>Recommendations</u>: Have previous recommendations been appropriately addressed?



## **CD-2 Review**

Key Performance Parameter	Thresholds (Performance Deliverable)	Objectives
	> 5.7 GeV, with systems installed	
Storage Ring Energy	for 6 GeV operation	6 GeV
	> 25 mA in top-up with systems	
Beam Current	installed for 200 mA operation	200 mA
Horizontal Emittance	< 130 pm-rad at 25 mA	< 42 pm-rad at 200 mA
Brightness @ 20 keV1	$> 1 \ge 10^{20}$	$> 1 \ge 10^{22}$
Brightness @ 65 keV <sup>2</sup>	$> 1 \ge 10^{19}$	$> 2 \ge 10^{21}$
APS-U (or Feature) Beamlines		
Transitioned to Operations	5	$\geq 6$

We will propose a TPC of <u>\$815M</u> for the APS Upgrade. This should allow us to more fully develop and deliver the science capabilities of the facility—APS 2025 if you will.

Funding status: FY17: 42.5M FY18: 93M

FY19: 60 PBR / **130** House / 140 Senate FY20: 150M FY21: 159.8M FY22-23: 131.2M



## **And Beyond!**







## CD-3 Review Timing $\rightarrow \sim$ April 2019(TBC)



Time



and % of

of procurements,

\$ value

## **Summary**

We live in very exciting times! After a long 'front porch'...the APS-U is becoming real...and in conjunction with Operations, will recreate the APS by the middle of the 2020s.

Congress and the Administration are supportive and advancing the APS Upgrade...quickly!

It's a very busy time...your concerted efforts are welcome and very much needed...Thank You!

## Questions?



## Safety

"Diversity is being invited to the party. Inclusion is being asked to dance."

#### Inclusion (Merriam – Webster)

- 1: the act of <u>including</u> : the state of being <u>included</u>
- **2:** something that is included: such as
  - **a** : a gaseous, liquid, or solid foreign body enclosed in a mass (as of a mineral)
  - b: a passive usually temporary product of cell activity (such as a starch grain) within the cytoplasm or nucleus
- 3: a relation between two classes that exists when all members of the first are also members of the second compare membership 3
- 4: the act or practice of including students with disabilities in regular school classes
- inclusionary
- play \in-'klü-zhə-ner-ē\ adjective

I strongly encourage ALL of us to create a respectful, inclusionary environment.

When working in groups...make sure <u>everyone</u> has the chance to dance.

# **On executing the LLPs**

We have to execute the LLPs in a rational and transparent manner

- Designs have to be documented and complete ( $\rightarrow$  our reviews)
- We have to plan how we will procure (design and build? build to print?)
- We have to plan how we will accept (acceptance criteria and tests)
- Typical bid evaluation is 'best value' (do not need to be low bidder)

Readiness is reported through a Procurement Readiness Checklist to Federal Project Director

Progress is directly tracked and reported (EVMS)



- Second phase of Bunch Lengthening Cavity and Cryomodule
- Eight pole Corrector Magnets
- Beamline Optics and Stability Components
- Q3 and Q6 quadrupole magnets
- Unipolar Power Supply Components
- High Heat Load Front End Components (Glidcop based)
- S1, S2, and S3 sextupole magnets
- RF BPM Components (Relay Racks)
- ASL beamline (Enclosures) + Technical Equipment
- X-ray Beam Position Monitor Components (GlidCop based)
- Magnetic Structures for Planar Undulators
- ASL Canted Undulator Front End
- Canted Undulator Front End Components (Glidcop based)
- Bunch Lengthening System Cryoplant
- Q4 and Q5 magnet contracts
- High Voltage Pulsers
- Insertion Device Vacuum Chambers
- Pneumatics and Equipment Protection System for High Heat Load Front Ends
- DLM A Plinth
- Fast Corrector Chambers
- Septum magnet

## LLPs

On going, assumed a 20M FY18 budget

Adds with the 93M FY18 actual budget

Continued execution of the LLPs is extremely important

- Reduces risk to the project
- Delivers on project schedule
- Locks in prices (reduces uncertainty captured in contingency need)
- Demonstrates our ability to effectively use funds to our sponsors



# On executing the LLPs

## The Procurement Readiness Checklist includes sign offs for

- 1. Functional Requirements
- 2. Interface Documents
- 3. Engineering Specifications
- 4. Technical Review Completion
- 5. Safety Review Complete
- 6. Acceptance Criteria Understood
- 7. Vendors Evaluated
- 8. Procurement Ready to Proceed

Is it technically ready and are interfaces to neighbors understood?

- Do we know how we will accept it?
- Do we have a rational set of vendors to bid the job?
- Are all of the above done and do we have the money?

Items 1 through 6 are part of the Final Design Review or Production Readiness Review.  $\rightarrow$  See Tom F. (Mark B.) for clarifications w/ your APM.

Item 7 (and this form in general) is owned by Tom B.

Item 8 is my signature. Chance of getting this without items 1-7 complete is miniscule.



# On executing the LLPs

For any non-catalog item, we then need:

- A Statement of Work ( $\rightarrow$  Elmie)
- A released drawing package
- ANL-407 (excel version → Tom B)

# This list can be tailored (shortened) if appropriate

ASK...there is a reason there are names on these pages!

SOW for a Double-Crystal/Multilayer Monochromator January 30, 2018

SOW for a Double-Crystal/Multilayer Monochromator

3.8

39

Support Structure

Snace Requirement

January 30, 2018

#### Table of Contents

	ope and Introduction5		
.1	Scope 5	;	
.2	Introduction		
.3	Beamline Description		
.4	Components and Tasks Provided by APS8		
.5	Schedule		
.6	6 Reports and Documentation 10		
1.6	5.1 Initial Project Meeting 10	)	
1.6	1.6.2 Preliminary Design Review		
1.6	1.6.3 Final Design Review		
1.6	6.4 Monthly Progress Reports 11	L	
1.6	5.5 Shipping of device from CONTRACTOR to APS 11	L	
1.6	6.6 Final Project Closeout	L	
.7	Warranty11	L	
DC	MM Technical Description12	2	
2.1	Design Concept 12	1	
2.2	2 $\theta_B$ Primary Bragg Rotation		
2.3	3 Y <sub>T</sub> Translation 14		
2.4	4 X <sub>T</sub> Translation		
2.5	5 First Crystal/multilayer		
2.6	6 Second Crystal/multilayer 15		
2.7	7 Capacitive Sensors		
2.8	Canted Beam Clearance 16		
2.9	DCMM Crystal protection 16		
Sp	ecifications	,	
3.1	DCMM specifications	/	
3.2	Tolerances		
3.3	First and Second Crystals/Multilayer 18		
3.4	X-ray Beam Specifications 19		
8.5	Motions and Controls 19		
8.6	Cooling		

3.7 DCMM Compton Shielding ...... 22

5.9 Space Requ	Irements	
3.10 Vacuum an	d Ports	
3.11 Summary o	f differences between the two monochromators	
4 Quality Assurance and Inspection		
	urance	
4.2 Inspection	and Factory Acceptance Tests (FAT) 26	
4.3 Test Result	s and Certificates	
5 Packaging and	Shipping	
	d Shipping 28	
6 APS DCMM Acc	ceptance Tests	
6.1 Crystal Met	rology	
6.2 Parasitic M	otions	
6.3 Vibrations.		
6.4 Vacuum Int	egrity	
7 Installation, A	lignment and Testing	
7.1 On-Site Ass	embly and Installation	
7.2 Alignment	Relative to the X-ray Beam	
7.3 Mechanical	Testing	
8 Final Acceptance Tests		
9 Coordinates		
References		
10 Attachments		



24

24