

Beam Diagnostics for the APS MBA Upgrade TUZGBD3 IPAC 2018



Nick Sereno

Diagnostics Group Leader APS/Argonne National Laboratory For the APS Beam Stability Team

2018 International Particle Accelerator Conference May 1, 2018

APS Beam Stability Team

Many Groups Working on Beam Stability and Diagnostics

- ASD Diagnostics:
 - R. Blake, A Brill, H. Bui, P. Dombrowski, L. Erwin, R. Keane, B. Lill,
 N. Sereno, X. Sun, B. X. Yang, P. Weghorn, R. Zabel
- AES Controls:
 - N. Arnold, T. Fors, D. Paskvan, A. Pietryla, S. Shoaf, S. Xu
- ASD Power Supplies:
 - B. Deriy, J. Wang
- APS Upgrade Vacuum:
 - H. Cease, B. Stillwell
- ASD Accelerator Operations and Physics
 - L. Emery, V. Sajaev, M. Sangroula, H. Shang, A. Xiao
- APS Upgrade Project:
 - J. Carwardine, G. Decker, U. Wienands
- ANL Facilities:
 - M. Kirchenbaum, S. Stewart, G. Kailus



Outline

- Diagnostics for the MBA Ring
- Beam Stability Requirements
- RF BPM System Design
- Mechanical Motion System and R&D
- GRID X-ray BPM
- Beam Size Measurement Design Considerations
- Orbit Feedback System Design and R&D
- Summary



MBA Ring Design



Invited Talk: THXGBD1 Aimin Xiao

Quantity	APS Now	APS MBA	APS MBA	Units
		Timing Mode	Brightness Mode	
Beam Energy	7		6	GeV
Beam Current	100		200	mA
Number of bunches	24	48	324	
Bunch Duration (rms)	34	104	88	\mathbf{ps}
Energy Spread (rms)	0.095	0.156	0.130	%
Bunch Spacing	153	77	11	ns
Horizontal Emittance	3100	32	42	$pm \cdot rad$
Emittance Ratio	0.013		0.1	
Horizontal Beam Size (rms)	275	12.6	14.5	$\mu { m m}$
Vertical Beam Size (rms)	11	7.7	2.8	$\mu { m m}$
Betatron Tune	35.2,19.27	95	.1, 36.1	
Natural Chromaticity	-90,-43	-13	80, -122	

Diagnostics for the MBA Ring driven by small beam size

- Beam Stability Requirements
- Emittance Measurement Diagnostics



Diagnostic Systems For the MBA Ring

Diagnostic	Quantity/Sector	Total
Arc RF BPMs		
	12	480
ID RF BPMs (A:P0, B:P0)	2	80
Canted ID RF BPMs (C:P0)	1	10
Orbit Feedback System	N/A	1
Mechanical Motion Systems	1	35
Current Monitors	N/A	2
Bunch Current Monitor	N/A	1
Beam Size Monitors	N/A	3
Transverse Multi-bunch Feedback	N/A	1
X-Ray BPM Electronics GRID	1	35

570 rf BPMs



Beam Stability Requirements

 Beam stability requirements are set at a fraction of the particle beam phase space (x, x', y, y') dimensions, typically 10% at the ID source points

Plane	AC rms Motion (0.01-1000 Hz)		Long Term Drift (7 Days)		
Horizontal Vertical	$1.3 \ \mu m$ $0.4 \ \mu m$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25 \ \mu \mathrm{rad} \\ 0.17 \ \mu \mathrm{rad} \end{array}$	$1.0 \ \mu m$ $1.0 \ \mu m$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \ \mu \mathrm{rad} \\ 0.5 \ \mu \mathrm{rad} \end{array}$	

Present APS has ~5 times these values with bandwidth up to 100 Hz



RF BPM Requirements

Beam Position at Insertion Device	Specification Limit	Condition
AC (0.01Hz-1kHz)	Resolution 250 nm rms	range: +/- 2mm
Drift (period of 1 week)	1 µm	range: +/- 2mm
Beam Position at Arcs	Specification Limit	Condition
AC (0.01Hz-1kHz)	Resolution 400 nm rms	range: +/- 2mm
Drift (period of 1 week)	2 µm	range: +/- 2mm



RF BPMs*

Libera Brilliance Plus electronics

- Baseline design uses Libera Brilliance+ by ITech
 - < 60 nm rms AC noise 0.01 to 1000 Hz
 - < 50 nm pk-pk drift over 7 days
 - < 30 μm single shot rms noise for 1 nC typical commissioning charge levels
- 40 Shielded EMI enclosures for BPMs and feedback system electronics.
- BPM pickup electrode assembly has integrated shielded bellows designed in coordination with vacuum design group.

* R. Lill etal. IBIC 2016, Barcelona, Spain 2016 X. Sun etal. IBIC 2017, Grand Rapids, MI, 2017





RF BPM Pickup Electrode Design

Fill Pattern	Mode	Current (ma)	Single bunch current (ma)	Bunch charge (nC)	Bunch length (ps/mm)
48	User	200	4.2	15.34	75.8/22.7
324	User	200	0.6	2.27	56.1/16.8
Single	Studies	1	1	3.68	57/17.1

- Assembly designed with the vacuum group
- For MBA 8 mm button conducted trade-off studies on critical design parameters.
 - Signal strength (324 bunches)
 - Matching vs ability to braze feedthroughs
 - Machine impedance
 - Assembly power dissipation budget (0.42 watts with 48 bunch 200 mA)
- Designed and ordered prototypes from 2 vendors for qualification testing. (Settled on one vendor)
- Beam Testing of assembly in APS SR (Fall 2018)
 - Noted CST simulation showed bellows mechanical displacement effect on beam position







BPM Prototypes from two vendors

 Units from both vendors were tested for electrical performance with encouraging results.





Goubau Line Test Setup*



Minimize the size of cavity to reduce effect of trapped modes Use small slots to shield low-frequency EM fields

Gradual tapering to different dimensions

Plate poor conductors with good conductors (if possible)

- A Goubau line based test fixture has been designed and built to characterize coupling impedance of various accelerator components (S₂₁ measurement)
- G-line test fixture provides a wide-band (beam like) test signal
- The EM waves are launched onto the wire by the cones and propagate through the device under test (DUT) to the receiving antenna where the signals are terminated



Gaubau Line S₂₁ Measurements



- Discovered an air gap in a flange gasket that resulted in high frequency resonant response (high loss at ~4.75 GHz)
- BPM bellows indicate only a broadband low-loss response indicating they are designed properly



Mechanical Motion Measurement Systems (MMS)*

Correct raw bpm position for long-term mechanical movement of the vacuum chamber

- Instrument BPMs with capacitive detectors and hydrostatic detectors
- Tested system in Sector 27 at rf "P0" BPM and GRID X-ray BPM
- Final R&D design phase of the MMS instruments the X-Ray BPM inside the user hutch.
- Used data from the system to both inform the design and show how to correct bpm position for mechanical motion
 Shield Wall





*R. Lill etal. IPAC 2015, Richmond, Va. 2015 R. Lill etal. IBIC 2016, Barcelona, Spain, 2016

Capacitive electrode and heater

MMS Design





Communicating Vessels: H₂0 level is the same relative to ground no no matter the orientation or shape of the vessels *Provides an absolute vertical Reference*

 P0 rf BPMs for the MBA are now planned to be moved off ID vacuum chamber and have an isolated invar support system similar to NSLS-II







MMS Correction of Raw BPM Position Using Orbit Feedback*

Successive Predictions at the GRID Using MMS Data For Week 10-25-16



GRID-XBPM Prototype Design*



- 27-ID GRID installed for R&D and User Operations since Summer 2015
- Based on interception of hard X-rays and fluorescence by Cu (GlidCop)
- Vertical position obtained from pinhole imaging by each detector assembly
- Horizontal position obtained from difference over sum between upstream and downstream detectors
- Final engineering of system underway due to higher energy/flux bend magnet/quad backgrounds in 42 pm emittance MBA ring



- *B. X. Yang etal. IPAC 2015, Richmond, Va. 2015
- B. X. Yang etal. IBIC 2016, Barcelona, Spain, 2016
- G. Decker, PAC 2007, Albuquerque, NM, 2007

GRID-XBPM Prototype Performance

- GRID Prototype installed in S27 Frontend factor of 30 better signal to background
- Old PE XBPMs make use of the Decker distortion





Beam Size and Emittance Measurement Design Considerations*

- The A:M1 source is very important to successful APS-U storage ring emittance diagnostics:
 - Low dispersion allows for clean emittance measurements
 - Larger beam sizes relax resolution requirements compared to other possible lattice sources
- Four measurement techniques are considered to cover all expected beam conditions and smallest expected emittance of 4 pm-rad
 - For absolute beam size measurements, we will use a pinhole camera (8-100 μm), a wide aperture Fresnel diffractometer (4-16 μm) and a Young's double-slit interferometer (1-5 μm).
 - For relative beam size changes, 1-D double-slit collimator will be used to monitor normalized peak intensities.
- Coherence preservation is the most important concern.



Orbit Feedback System*





Integrated Beam Stability R&D in APS Sector 27

Major systems tested: BPM Electronics, Fast Corrector PS, Feedback Controller



Arg_____

RMS beam stability test at S27 with 22.6 kHz sampling rate and unified feedback (rms beam motion)



We are near spec for AC stability (400 nm vertical and 1300 nm horizontal) Achieved > 700 Hz closed loop bandwidth

Closed Loop Bandwidth Record Achieved During studies: 4-25-2018 Horizontal Plane





Summary

- MBA diagnostics must deliver unprecedented beam stability and be able to measure ultra small beam size for emittance measurements
- Significant progress has been made developing the design of the primary diagnostics for the MBA ring
- Integration and R&D testing in sector 27 has informed MBA design and given the team confidence that demanding MBA requirements can be met
- We now look forward to conclusion of the R&D program and proceeding to final design in the coming year



Extra Slides

- Beam Size monitoring/emittance measurements
- Misc. systems used from existing APS storage ring
- Unified Feedback Illustration and Movie



One Absolute Beam Size Monitor

- Extended beamline length for 3:1 magnifications
- Three x-ray diffraction imaging branch lines
 - 20-keV x-ray pinhole camera (right) for beam size of 8 100 μm.
 - 8-keV Fresnel diffractometer (lower-left) for beam size of 4 – 16 μm.
 - 8-keV Young's interferometer (lower-right) for beam size of 1 – 5 μm.







Bingxin Yang, Emittance 2018 Workshop, 29-30 January 2018

Relative BSM: Double-Slits Collimator

One-dimensional 15-keV x-ray pinhole camera:

- Pinhole-slit width is chosen to maximize the peak intensity at the detector.
- The slits' length increases the x-ray flux by five fold (relative to a pinhole).
- Detector slits width is chosen to balance good resolution and good signal level.





Bingxin Yang, Emittance 2018 Workshop, 29-30 January 2018

Transverse Feedback and Tune Measurement Systems

- Baseline design utilizes "APS as built" transverse feedback and tune measurement systems with minor modifications.
- New high power amplifiers and heliax cables.
- Design and install new stripline kickers based on existing design.

Storage Ring Current Monitors

- 2-Bergoz In-flange Parametric Current Transformers
- 1-Bunch Charge Monitor



Unified Feedback Illustration and Movie

- Problem is to utilize both fast and slow correctors down to DC to correct the beam (instability)
- Now we roll off RTFB and datapool (DP) around a few Hz and where they overlap we do feedforward from DP correctors to RTFB bpm offsets
- How to modify the response matrix to achieve correction down to DC: First, took an experimental approach
 - Run the fast corrector system (RTFB) using standard inverse response matrix but down to DC
 - Measure the response matrix for the slow system (DP)
 - Invert and run the measured slow system using this measured response matrix



Fast correctors can't correct DC perturbations inside the 3-bump



Unified Feedback Illustration and Movie cont.

- The slow corrector response matrix exactly calculable from the standard machine response matrix (see technote DIAG-TN-2014-012)
- Imagine a very simple orbit feedback system consisting of two bpms and two correctors: one fast and the other slow
- The standard response matrix is: $[\mathbf{R}_{f}\mathbf{R}_{s}]\Delta c = \Delta p$

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta p} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p_1 \\ \Delta p_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Delta c} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta c_f \\ \Delta c_s \end{bmatrix}$$



Standard orbit feedback



Unified Feedback Illustration and Movie cont.

• The unified response matrix is: $[\mathbf{R}_{f} \mathbf{R}_{us}] \Delta \mathbf{c} = \Delta \mathbf{p}$

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta \boldsymbol{p}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \boldsymbol{p}_1 \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{p}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Delta \boldsymbol{c}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \boldsymbol{c}_f \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{c}_s \end{bmatrix}$$



Argonne

Unified Feedback Illustration and Movie cont.

- The unified response matrix is:
- The orthogonal projection matrix transforms any vector into a new vector orthogonal to the column space of the underlying matrix (See for instance Gilbert Strang Linear Algebra)
- Demonstrated this in sector 27 at 22.6 kHz update rate for both slow and fast correctors!

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{us} = \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{R}_{f} \boldsymbol{R}_{f}^{-1}\right) \boldsymbol{R}_{s}$$
$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}_{f}}^{perp} = \boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{R}_{f} \boldsymbol{R}_{f}^{-1}$$



Improvements in orbit feedback settling times from the Unified Feedback Algorithm



