

The IEX Electromagnetic Variably Polarizing Quasi-periodic Undulator

or Just

The IEX Undulator

Intermediate Energy X-ray

By Mark Jaski



Outline

- History
- Specifications and Parameters
- Prototype
- Quasi-periodicity
- Design and Assembly
- Future Plans

History



The CPU in Sector 4

E. Gluskin et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 521, 344 (2000)

- The project started with a request for a device similar to the circularly polarizing undulator (CPU) installed in Sector 4:
 - low photon energies
 - choice of polarizations (linear horizontal & vertical, left and righthanded circular)
- There were to be differences:
 - An option to minimize higher harmonics in the photon spectrum
 - No need for rapid switching between left- and right-handed circular polarizations
- Several possible undulator designs were discussed
 - Apple II (all permanent magnet)
 - Electromagnetic/Permanent magnet
 - Electromagnetic

Specifications

General	Period	12.5	cm
	Gap	10.5	mm
	Periods per device (including end poles)	38	Periods
Horizontal	Minimum Photon Energy	250	eV
Linear Polarization	Required vertical effective field	4514	Gauss
	Effective K Value	5.27	
Vertical Linear Polarization	Minimum Photon Energy	440	eV
	Required horizontal effective field	3308	Gauss
	Effective K Value	3.86	
Circular Polarization	Minimum Photon Energy	440	eV
	Required horizontal and vertical effective field	2340	Gauss
Maximum Allowed 1st and 2nd Integrals	1st integral Bx	50	Gauss-cm
	2nd integral Bx	100,000	Gauss-cm ²
	1st integral By	100	Gauss-cm
	2nd integral By	100,000	Gauss-cm ²
Maximum Allowed Integrated Multipoles	Normal Quadrupole	50	Gauss
	Normal Sextupole	200	Gauss-cm ⁻¹
	Normal Octupole	300	Gauss-cm ⁻²
	Skew Quadrupole	50	Gauss
	Skew Sextupole	100	Gauss-cm ⁻¹
	Skew Octupole	50	Gauss-cm ⁻²

4

Selected Parameters

General	Period	12.5	cm
	Gap	10.5	mm
	Periods per device (including end poles)	38	Periods
	Length	4.8	m
By Field	Max Achievable Vertical Effective Field	4599	Gauss
	Peak field ¹	5456	Gauss
	Current ¹	47.6	A
	Number of By coils	112	Each
	Number of By Quasi-periodic coils	40	Each
	Total Power of By Coils ¹	4846	Watts
	Total Power of By Quasi-periodic coils ¹	1803	Watts
Bx Field	Max Achievable Horizontal Effective Field	3362	Gauss
	Peak field ¹	3767	Gauss
	Current ¹	50.3	A
	Number of Bx coils	224	Each
	Number of Bx Quasi-periodic coils	80	Each
	Total Power of Bx Coils ¹	8764	Watts
	Total Power of Bx Quasi-periodic coils ¹	3220	Watts

Bx Trim Coils	Peak Current	5.87	Α		
	Total Power at Peak Current	40	Watts		
	Number of Bx trim coils	4 upstream	Each		
		4 downstream	Each		
By Trim Coils	Peak Current	5.53	А		
	Total Power at Peak Current	45	Watts		
	Number of By trim coils	2 upstream	Each		
		2 downstream	Each		
	DS Skew Dipole	9,021	G-cm		
	DS Normal Dipole	13,153	G-cm		
	DS Skew Quadrupole	4,251	G		
	DS Normal Quadrupole	15,158	G		
Maximum	DS Normal Sextupole	10,236	G/cm		
Achievable Multipole Corrections	DS Skew Octupole	346	G/cm ²		
	US Skew Dipole	8,043	G-cm		
	US Normal Dipole	13,054	G-cm		
	US Skew Quadrupole	4,569	G		
	US Normal Quadrupole	15,144	G		
	US Normal Sextupole	10,140	G/cm		
	US Skew Octupole	375	G/cm ²		
Earth Field Corrector	Integrated Field Per Ampere	490	Gauss-cm/A		
	Peak Current	8	A		
	Total Power at Peak Current	4.5	Watts		
	Maximum Temperature of Coils	100	°C		
¹ At the Max Achievable Effective Field					

All fields listed above are calculated values

17 Power Supplies



OPERA 4 Period Model For Prototype

24/Jan/2011 12:59:10



Mark Jaski

Magnetic Devices Group

OPERA 4 Period Model For Prototype

24/Jan/2011 12:58:12

Add the Coils

Typically end coils are ~¹/₄ - ³/₄ - 1 turns

Actual Turns Bx 11 - 34 - 46 By 15 - 42 - 62

Mark Jaski

Accelerator Systems Division

Magnetic Devices Group

11/2011

Opera

OPERA 4 Period Model For Prototype

24/Jan/2011 12:57:06



And Multipole Correction

Opera

Mark Jaski

Accelerator Systems Division

Magnetic Devices Group

IEX Prototype 2 (4 periods)



Major Findings

- Two prototypes were built. The first showed that the cooling scheme would work as calculated.
- During the construction of the first prototype, beam simulations showed that the field roll-off was too large for storage ring injection.
- The magnetic design was modified to make the poles wider so as to reduce the roll-off.
 Prototype 2 was built.
- Magnetic measurements of the prototype agreed with the calculations, after some adjustment of the assumed magnetic properties.
- Separate power supplies for each end coil provides a means to correct several multipoles.

Electromagnet IEX Device Can Be Periodic or Quasiperiodic



Quasi-periodic Field

The field is reduced at selected poles¹

Quasi-periodic Electron Trajectory





Accelerator Systems Division

Magnetic Devices Group

Quasi-periodicity Suppresses the Higher Harmonics



Flux in linear horizontal polarization mode at 250-eV first-harmonic energy for two different QP patterns with reduced magnetic field at the QP poles (85% of regular field). The higher harmonics are shifted to lower energies with the QP turned on. The energy shift is smaller for the 16-pole pattern (blue dashed curve). The flux of the third harmonic is reduced to ~ 8% and the second harmonic is reduced to less than 50% for both patterns. The first harmonic is reduced by ~ 20%.

Magnetic Devices Group



Mark Jaski

Accelerator Systems Division

Magnetic Devices Group

IEX Device

Installation in April 2012



~14,000 lbs

Assembly



Mark Jaski

Δ

Accelerator Systems Division

Magnetic Devices Group

Future Plans

- Complete assembly and begin magnetic measurements in January 2012.
- 3 months for magnetic measurements, testing, and creating look-up tables.
- Installation in sector 29 is currently scheduled for the April/May 2012 shut down.
- Development of a fast switching (10 Hz) electromagnetic device is underway.

Acknowledgements

Administrative Renee Lanham Michael Oprondek Romas Senkevicius

Alignment Bill Jansma Merrick Penicka

Engineering

Jeff Collins Chuck Doose Matt Kasa Marvin Kirshenbaum John Sidarous Eugene Swetin Emil Trakhtenberg Greg Wiemerslage Management Efim Gluskin Michael Merritt Liz Moog Mohan Ramanathan

Magnet Measurement Melike Abliz Isaac Vasserman

Physics Michael Borland Roger Dejus Louis Emery Suk Kim Vadim Sajaev Shigemi Sasaki Aimin Xiao Power Supplies and Controls Boris Deriy Marty Smith Ju Wang Joe Xu

Technician Ralph Bechtold Cheryl Bergthold Susan Bettenhausen Kurt Boerste Jack Burke Joe Gagliano III Mike Johnson Thomas Meier Glenn Moonier John TerHaar Ed Theres Rob Wright

Thank You