

VME64x CR/CSR handling

- Introduction
- (non-existence of) support (in vxWorks)
- Discussion

CR/CSR Specification

- Configuration ROM/Control and Status Register, defined in VME64, additions in VME64x
- Address space with its own address modifier (0x2f)
- Module address in this space geographically addressed
- Each module has 512 kB, not all of it needs to be implemented

CR/CSR Specification

- Support for address space relocation (definable base)
- Module enable/disable
- Capabilities of the module (interrupt levels, support for special cycles)
- 8 “functions”; each function has its own addressing capabilities
- Module information (manufacturer, board ID, revision, serial number)

Status and Support

- All this is very nice (standardized interface for module-specific data, arranging of address space...) but
 - Very few modules on the market support this (I have seen only one example until now)
 - vxWorks BSPs (at least below version 6) have no idea of this
 - 4 master address windows, by default: A16, A24, A32, mailbox
 - How is it in RTEMS? I do not know
 - EPICS is not (coherently) using the 64x features

One example case

- The new Event System modules from Micro-Research ('200-series', a.k.a. Diamond timing) does implement CR/CSR specification.
 - How to handle this in the device support?
- Path of least resistance solution:
 - temporarily modify VME bridge (UniverseII) registers to give access to CR/CSR space, restore after configuration to original (J.Pietarinen)
 - Follow the same model as with Hytec cards: module address is calculated from the slot number (Diamond uses a lot of Hytec carrier boards)
 - Happens in startup script (would need rethinking if one wants hot-swap.)
 - No BSP modifications required; some assumptions of module number had/have to be corrected.

General issues

- What would be a good way to handle this kind of issues:
 - Try to forget about it (ask Micro-Research to ‘downgrade’ to fixed address mapping and hope that no new modules with CR/CSR appear)
 - If there is no OS support, it will be difficult to handle anyway
 - Attempt to figure out a concept, preferably with some kind of hardware abstraction layer
 - Are the 64x features ever going to be really used?